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Abstract.—This paper defines ethnoentomology, briefly traces the history of the field, surveys the literature in major subject areas and offers suggestions for continued research. Hypothesis-generation/testing is suggested as an important “intellectual bridge” to a world science that builds upon knowledge systems of all human societies. Examples are presented.

Introduction

Definitions, even for ethnoentomology, are often difficult to formulate, and, once formulated, are usually unsatisfactory. Insight and understanding is sometimes increased through a comparison with a related term or concept, hence the juxtaposition of “cultural entomology” and “ethnoentomology” in the discussion that follows.

Cultural entomology treats the influence of insects upon the “essence of humanity as expressed in the arts and humanities” (Hogue 1980). Cultural anthropologists usually restrict their studies to “advanced,” industrialized, and literate societies, maintaining that entomological concerns of “primitive” or “noncivilized” societies are in the domain of ethnobiology. They are principally interested in written forms of cultural expression and limit their studies to physically recorded sources of literate societies. It is well to note that this, like many divisions, is an artificial one, and it implies an ethnocentric “we/they” bias built upon assumptions of fundamental differences between “primitive” and “civilized” classification and thought. Thus far, anthropological research has not substantiated such assumptions.

Although the prefix “ethno” generally indicates knowledge of “folk” societies and the word cell “ento” refers to insects (thus ethnoentomology is concerned with the knowledge and use of insects in different human societies), defining the term is not as easy as might be expected. A fundamental problem is that of delimiting entomology itself. Even though the concept “insect” is clearly defined by Western science, entomologists also frequently study “related arthropods.” Since these two concepts gradually developed in Western science, it cannot be assumed that they are universal and, in folk societies, must be elicited using emic procedures that “discover” conceptual paradigms rather than methods that impose preconceived concepts upon the society under study.

There are a number of areas within ethnoentomology which can be successfully researched through analyses based upon observations and data collection using the categories of Western science, i.e., using the etic approach, without diminishing their ethnoscientific contribution. Examples include studies of insects as food, the role of arthropods in disease transmission, hallucinogenic insects, the use of insects for ornamentation, problems in contamination of food with insects, etc. Few studies have passed...
from the etic to the cognitive emic level. Yet the native (folk) view of insects—their naming, classification, and use—is surely the ultimate goal of ethnoentomology.

This paper gives a general survey of both emic and etic topics in ethnoentomology, utilizing the general Western concept “insects and related arthropods” as a unifying category for comparative study. Cultural entomology is treated as a subdivision of ethnoentomology that deals with recorded sources in literate societies. Cultural entomological interests will, therefore, be incorporated throughout the paper, although no attempt is made to review the vast literature.

The purpose of this review is to outline areas of interest for future ethnoentomological investigation, with an attempt made to establish ethnoentomology, and ethnobiology in general, as a hypothesis-generating and testing mechanism. That is, to show how folk knowledge and beliefs can serve to generate new ideas and hypotheses which can then be investigated and tested by our own science. This approach provides an intellectual bridge between Western and folk sciences as well as the basis for a non-culturally biased world science. The paper argues that folk specialists must be treated as scientists, with their respective systems regarded as invaluable codifications of human observations of natural phenomena.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF ETHNOENTOMOLOGY

Development of entomology as a folk science has been traced for Egypt (Efflaton 1929), the Middle East (Harpaz 1973), Greece and Rome (Scarborough 1979), and other parts of the world (Essig 1931, Montgomery 1959, Wilson and Boner 1937). Modern entomology acquired a distinctively humanistic flavor (and perhaps its “ethno” tendencies) from entomologist-philosophers such as William Morton Wheeler, Maurice Maeterlinck, and Jean Henri Fabre, who “not only described insect phenomena with imagination and brilliance, but wrote and spoke of their meaning on a human intellectual plane” (Hogue 1980). Contemporary ethnoentomology began in the Nineteenth Century with the works of Wallace (1852), Daoust (1858), Bates (1862), Hagen (1863), Katter (1883), Librecht (1886), Glock (1891), Marshall (1894), and Wagner (1895). Writings by Armbruster (1926), Arndt (1923), Barrett (1925), Caudell (1916), Dammerman (1929), Ealand (1929), Gudger (1925), Knorz (1910), Laufer (1927), and Nordenskiold (1929) brought the subject into the Twentieth Century. Essig’s (1934) survey of the importance of insects to the Indians of California established the traditional categories of ethnoentomological interest.

Zinsser’s (1935) Rats, Lice and History remains a classic because of its perspective of insects as forces in human social and biological history. Insects as Human Food (Bodenheimer 1951) likewise brought insects to world attention in a more positive light as a potential and important source of protein. Wyman and Bailey (1952) were the first to use the term ethnoentomology in print in their seminal work on the Navajo Indians.


Conklin’s (1973) general bibliography of folk classification offers an important section of entries on ethnozoology (including ethnoentomology) and provides a bibliographic framework to link ethnoentomology with its theoretical roots in ethnoscience.

INSECTS AND HUMAN HISTORY

Zinsser’s (1935) work popularized the knowledge of the association of insects with the spread of epidemic diseases that demolished empires and changed the course of human
history. Subsequent works (Cloudsly-Thompson 1976; Hare 1954; McNeill 1976; Ritchie 1979; Sigerest 1951; Smith 1973) trace the plagues and pestilence caused by insect-borne diseases such as bubonic plague, typhus, yellow fever, and trypanosomiasis. Bushvine (1976) details the effects of ectoparasites on human hygiene and medical history.

Crosby (1972) analyzes the complexities of trans-Atlantic exchanges of insect-transmitted diseases and emphasizes the destructive impact of such on aboriginal populations of the New World. Often such devastation extended well into regions with no direct contact with Europeans. This was due to extensive aboriginal trade routes that brought goods infested with insect vectors deep into the hinterlands (Posey 1976). The complete impact of insect-related diseases is still little known for the Americas (Dobyns 1966).

Certainly the role of insects in human evolutionary history is indisputable. Students interested in this broad area should begin their studies by consulting the bibliographies of the above works.

INSECTS AND HUMAN FOOD

The most extensive literature in any subject of ethnoentomology concerns the relationship between insects and human food. The study of Entomophagy, the direct use of insects as human food, has a long and varied history. Why Not Eat Insects! (Holt 1885) stimulated a series of studies concerning the nutritional potential and importance of insects to the human diet. Subsequent general surveys [eg., Bergier 1941; Bodenheimer 1951; Conconi et al 1981; Curran 1939; Dufour 1981; Gorham 1976a,b; Harlan 1976; Hoffman 1947; Meyer-Rochow 1973, 1975, 1976, 1985; Ruddel 1973; Taylor 1975] have investigated the variations in cultural practice of entomophagy. Other studies have documented the biological efficiency of insect reproduction and the consequent production of protein (DeFoliart 1975; Dufour 1981; Meyer-Rochow 1975, 1976). Recent works discuss the practical problems of insect foods for Western societies, including socioeconomic factors, manpower, preparation, handling, and marketing (Conconi 1982, Dufour 1981; Gorman 1979; Ramirez et al 1973; Kok 1983).

Insects are also consumed indirectly through the ingestion of contaminated foods. This is because of the impossibility of complete removal of insect parts from food products (Caron 1978). Contamination necessitates the establishment of a complex set of rules and standards utilized by government food- and drug-regulating agencies (Taylor 1975). Detailed works outline the hazards of insect ingestion which include allergic reactions, poisoning, tumorigenic stimulation and related health problems (Choovivathanavanich et al 1970; Dufour 1981; Gorham 1975; Pimental et al 1977; Taylor 1975).

The major factors affecting insect consumption are not health hazards, however, but cultural biases. Bodenheimer's (1951) book on insects as human food stimulated a series of works regarding cultural traditions and taboos of insect eating [eg., Aeschlimann 1982; Catley 1963; Meyer-Rochow 1973, 1978; Ruddel 1973; Taylor 1975; Tihon 1946]. Although Western societies have a particularly strong bias against insects as food, with honeybees being the only Arthropod systematically exploited for human food (Dufour 1981), many other societies have a long and extensive inventory of useful and edible species [eg., Aldrich 1921; Catley 1963; Daoust 1858; Tindale 1953; Wallace 1852; Silow 1976, 1983]. Techniques for the evaluation of insect nutritional qualities have been developed (Coconi 1977; Coconi et al 1981, 1984; DeFoliart 1975; Tetotia and Miller 1974) and allow for the generation of numerous lists of species and their dietary potentials [eg., Dufour 1981; Redford and Dorea 1984, Taylor 1975]. Such techniques are not without problems and refinements in protein and nutrient evaluation are still needed (Redford 1986).

Other indirect effects of insects include the enormous cost of agricultural chemicals used to control them. A dramatic worldwide increase in mechanized monocultural
planting has led to sharp rises in epidemic outbreaks of insect pests and the resultant rise in crop loss (Altieri 1983; Cooper and Tinsley 1978). This trend, combined with soaring energy costs, has created serious global problems and threatened the stability of food prices in both developed and under-developed countries (Altieri 1985). Other farming trends, such as "no-till" planting, have led to increased vulnerability to some crop pests and greater dependency on herbicides; these herbicides, in turn, often increase the susceptibility of some crops to other insect and microbial pests (Oka and Pimentel 1974). This situation stimulates even greater dependency upon insecticides. All of these have contributed to re-establish the viability of traditional agriculture and the necessity of studying folk agriculture in detail.

Apart from the high costs of chemical agents, health hazards are alarming and ominous. Fatal and non-fatal poisoning from pesticides is common, and the long-term effects of ingestion through contaminated food and water are of wide concern (Gorman 1975, 1979; Pimental et al 1977; Taylor 1975).

Another problem is that for cosmetic and psychological reasons many types of insects are considered repulsive (Hosen 1980). That certain species are relished as edible delicacies in one society and viewed with dread or horror in another is a question of cultural "tastes." Yet as Dufour (1981) points out, attitudes toward insects can change. As world food supplies dwindle and long-term space travel becomes a way of life, consumption of insects may have to become acceptable (Pimental 1976). Insects are potentially one of the ideal sources of food and components in waste recycling in outer space because of their light weight, high quality of animal protein, and rapid reproductive rates (Miller 1981). Even so, any major changes in world diet will require a "desensitizing" to produce more positive attitudes towards insects in general and innovative marketing to introduce insect-based products (Dufour 1981).

**INSECTS AND MEDICINE**

It is in China that we find the most ancient and complete record of the use of insects in medicinal preparations. Read (1935) gives a detailed inventory of useful medicinal species. Chinese veterinary medicine had evolved to a point that curative diets and remedies were used to treat ailing crickets and silkworms (Laufer 1924; Read 1935).

Scarborough (1974b, 1981) gives evidence of the importance of certain insects in ancient Greek and Roman medicine. Numerous other surveys record diverse examples of insects in various cultures (Kevan 1979; Greenlee 1944; Meyer-Rochow 1985; Posey 1978; Swanton 1928). For example, in Brazil termites are used to treat bronchitis, catarrh and influenza, constipation, dog bite, goiter, incontinence, measles, protruding umbilicus, rheumatism, whooping cough, sores, boils, ulcers, etc. The treatments range from teas made from crushed insects or their nests to inhalation of smoke from burning termite cartons (Mill 1982). Principal insect groups listed in Brazil by Lenko and Papavaro (1979) for the variety of their medicinal uses are cockroaches (to treat alcoholism, asthma and bronchitis, colitis, constipation, tooth ache, etc.) and wasps (for stomach ache, wounds, spider bites, constipation, burns, etc.).

Bees are important in Kayapó Indian medicine. Different honeys are though to have different medicinal properties and are used for a variety of diseases. Pollen (collected by bees), larvae and pupae likewise have medicinal qualities. Smokes from different waxes are the most important and powerful curative substances: patients are either "bathed" in the smoke or inhale it. Houses are also "cleansed" by smokes from burnt beeswax, batumen, and resin (Posey 1983b, e, f; Posey and Camargo 1985).

Mixtures of wasps are though to be aphrodisiacs. Parts of the horns of the rhinoceros beetle *Megasoma acaean* (Dynastidae) are thought to give great sexual strength (Lenko and Papavaro 1979). Ant and wasp infusions are widely used to cure goiter, paralyses,
and rheumatism (Ealand 1915). Perhaps most amazing is the use of stinging ants and wasps as cures for crippling arthritis (cf. News and Comments, *Journal of Ethnobiology* 3(1):97, 1983). Stings from these Hymenoptera are apparently effective in curing arthritis. Cures for certain types of blindness are also attributed to wasp stings (Araújo 1961). The Uapixana and Tirí Indians also use ant stings to cure various maladies (Lenko and Papavaro 1979). In Brazil the enormous mandibles of *Atta* are used to suture wounds. The ants are allowed to bite the sides of the wound, when they close their jaws, their heads are broken off and the closed mandibles hold the wound together (Gudger 1925).

Other diverse uses include Tindale's (1953) observation of grubs being used in Australia by the aborigines as a “substitute teat” to wean their children. The aborigines also commonly treat stomach ache and colds with a liquid prepared from crushed greentree ants and larvae (McKeown 1944); crushed cockroaches are used to treat cuts (Rudell 1973). Cloudsly-Thompson (1976) provides a long list of medicinal uses of insects in the ancient and modern world.

**BEEKEEPING AND INSECT REARING**

From ancient cave paintings of honey raids to beekeeping in Babylon, and the use of beeswax to embalm the dead in Assyria, Ransome (1937) traces the importance of “the Sacred Bee” in ancient times. Crane (1984) describes the “archaeology” of beekeeping recorded in historical texts and art. Crane (1979) also provides a comprehensive survey of production, collection and use of beeswax in many parts of the world. The literature is so extensive on the keeping of *Apis* in ancient and contemporary times that it cannot be reviewed herein.

Keeping of stingless bees (*Meliponinae*) is a much less known area of entomology. Yet the keeping of meliponine species was a highly developed science amongst native peoples of Africa and the Americas (Parent et al 1978; Schwarz 1945, 1948).

Schwarz (1948) provides one of the most complete studies on the domestication of meliponines by the Maya of Central America. These Indians were expert in the genetic manipulation of different bees to increase honey and wax productivity and perfected many methods for the division of colonies and the rearing of numerous species. Highly ornamented man-made hives were employed in special shelters constructed for the sacred bees and bee gods. The Maya had several methods to attract and “tame” wild swarms, which included attraction with plantations of flowering plants preferred by the bees. Such practices continued into modern times and are still observed in Mexico, Panama, and other parts of Central America (Bennett 1964, 1965; Hendricks 1941; Weaver and Luhrmann 1981; Weaver 1981).

Stingless beekeeping was also highly developed in pre-Colombian South America. Nordenskiöld (1929) provides an interesting survey of South American folk apiculture observed during the first half of this century.

For stingless bees in Brazil, Lenko and Papavaro (1979) record 171 folk names, the majority of which were of indigenous origin. Many scientific names are actually taken directly from their Tupi Indian language origin (Nogeira-Neto 1970).

Although some species of *Meliponinae* were undoubtedly fully domesticated in South America, many species were only semi-domesticated. Chagnon (1968) and Metraux (1948b) describe bee management by the Yanomamo and Guarani Indians, but they fall short of describing the bees as fully domesticated.

Contemporary Kayapó Indians of Brazil name and classify at least 56 folk species of stingless bee (Posey 1983e, f); nine species are semi-domesticated. Hives of these species are raided, and a portion of the brood comb (with some honey and pollen) is returned to the next before resealing, so that the bees re-establish the colony and the Indians continue to exploit the hive in subsequent years. In addition to the nine semi-domesticated
species, the Kayapó mark the nests of several others and carefully observe the progress of the colonies. When the Indians think that the quantity of honey and/or wax sufficient, the nest is raided. Nests of some species, when found in the forest, are actually brought to the village to be observed on a daily basis (Posey and Camargo 1985). Other social insects reported to be "managed" include the sauva (Atta spp.) (Lenko and Papavero 1979), various wasp species (Baldus 1937; Chagnon 1973; Metraux 1984a), and honey-producing wasps (Brachygaster) (Lenko and Papavero 1979).

Beetle grubs (Phalaenidae and Buprestidae) are raised by several South American tribes (Chagnon 1968; Stewart and Metraux 1948). Palm trees are deliberately cut to provide a fodder for egg-laying adults. The Indians know exactly when to return to the decaying pith to extract the numerous, large grubs. Coimbra (1984) offers detailed information on the rearing of four species of Bruchidae and Curculionidae larvae by the Suri Indians of Rondonia (Brazil).

A sizeable bibliography exists regarding insect-rearing for laboratory experiments as well as livestock food (Calvart et al. 1969; Chambers 1977; MacHargue 1917; Vanderzant 1974). One interesting study deals with the commercial management of Hermetia illucens larvae, which are used as fish bait in Brazil (Santos and Coimbra 1984).

Insects have been reared for a number of purposes. Laufer (1927) describes in detail how elaborate carved gourds and miniature houses were prepared for singing and fighting crickets with China (Fig. 1). Special shelters were prepared for them, during the summer, with clay beds built for each individual. Elaborate diets were recognized for different species in different lunar cycles. Special diets and medicines were available for ailing crickets. Intricate porcelain dishes were created for feeding the prized individuals. Even delicately carved "ticklers" were created to urge reluctant cricket warriors to battle. Kevan (1979) notes that American Indians likewise raised crickets "simply to enjoy their songs." Similar reports are found in Bates (1862), Caudel (1916), and Floericke (1922). Posey and Camargo (1985) report on the keeping of stingless bees purely because of the Kayapó Indians' fascination with social insects. Of course, cultivation of silk worms (Bombyx
is another ancient Chinese tradition in insect rearing. The details of this folk science are described by Read (1935) and Cloudsly-Thompson (1976).

Scale insects (Coccus cacti) that feed on prickly pear (Opuntia spp.) are still raised in Mexico, Honduras, the Canary Islands, Algeria, Spain, and Peru because of their use in the production of the carmine-red pigment cochineal (Cloudsly-Thompson 1976; Ealand 1915). Similarly, “lac insects” (Laccifer lacca) are reared in Thailand, Burma, and India for their production of shellac, polishes, and sealing wax (Cloudsly-Thompson 1976).

PESTS AND PEST CONTROL

Was it a tarnished plant bug that caused the potato rot which led to the great Irish potato famine? Quite possibly, according to Wheeler (1981). If so, it probably was not the first ecological and social disaster wrought by insect pests. Although the roles of insects in agricultural history remains little known, we do know that today worldwide deforestation and the dominance of crop monocultures have provoked a sharp rise in insect pests (Cooper and Tinsley 1978; Thresh 1982). Likewise there have been dramatic increases in insect-borne diseases caused by blood parasites and arboviruses. These situations, along with the high costs of pesticides and energy for their applications, have stimulated a refreshing new emphasis upon studies of pest management in traditional agriculture (Altieri 1985).

Western agriculturalists have generally assumed traditional agricultural systems to be of low productivity and have used “bigger yield” as their justification for expensive technologies and chemical dependency (Alverson 1984). Yet in many cases, native agriculture has been shown to be both productive and efficient in its use of local skills, available energy, and materials (Egger 1981; Kerr and Posey 1984; Parker et al 1983; Posey 1983c, d; Wilken 1977). One of the major reasons for this effectiveness is efficient pest management.


Brown and Marten (1984) point out that crop losses in native fields may be as high as 40%, and such losses are still within the range of losses in modern agriculture using pesticides. One major difference exists: elimination of pesticides from modern systems can produce losses approaching 100% (Schwarz and Klassen 1981), whereas pest damage in traditional agricultural systems almost never exceeds reasonable bounds (Altieri 1985).

The relationship between agricultural polycultures and lower pest incidence is currently under investigation (Altieri and Letourneau 1982, Perrin 1980; Risch et al 1983). Maintenance of a broad genetic base certainly diminishes attacks from host-specific pests (Brush 1982, Gliessman et al 1981; Pimentel and Goodman 1978). A variety of management techniques have been described for different societies. Use of resistant native cultivars, crop rotation, variation in planting times, and use of shade to shelter useful insects are only a few keys to successful traditional agriculture. In Nigeria, for example, okra is planted to divert flea beetles (Podagria spp.) from cotton (Perrin 1980). Variations in relative corn and bean planting dates are also used to reduce leafhopper and armyworm damage (Altieri and Letourneau 1982). Many studies detail other management techniques (Altieri 1983a, b, 1985; Bunting 1972; Glass and Thurston 1978; Golob et al 1982; Huis et al 1982, Khan et al 1978; Litsinger et al 1978a, b; Matteson et al 1984; Wilken 1977).

The effects of spatial arrangement, eg. row spacing, are still little known but appear to have significant impact on pest management. Matteson et al (1984) document a signi-
significant difference in crop loss between cowpeas (*Maruca testulalis*) planted in intra-rows rather than inter-rows with maize. Kerr and Posey [1984] report that interdispersal of *aria* (*Calathea aloua*) with tuber crops reduces nematodes and *Collembola*-borne virus attacks in Kayapó fields.

Management of “weeds” is also an important factor in the overall practices of traditional agriculturalists. “Relevant weeds,” according to Altieri [1983a, b; 1985], support rich natural enemy fauna that provide alternative prey/host, pollen or nectar, or favorable microhabitats unavailable in weed-free fields. Most of what Western agriculturalists would consider “weeds” in a Kayapó field are, in fact, useful semi-domesticates for the Indians [Posey 1986]. Altieri and Letourneau [1982] provide examples of cropping systems in which the presence of weeds has enhanced biological control of insect pests.

Western science has only begun to seriously study traditional agricultural science. Yet existing evidence points to the richness of ideas and data available to interested researchers [Brokenshaw et al 1980; Posey et al 1984; Parker et al 1983]. Some laboratories have begun the serious study of toxicological potentials of native pesticides. Results have been promising [Ganjian et al 1983; Kubo and Matsumoto 1984; Kubo et al 1984]. Other entomologists, agriculturalists, and ethnoentomologists should devote more attention to the investigation of integrated pest management by native peoples.

**MYTHOLOGY, RITUAL, AND “NATURAL MODELS”**

Reports of insects in mythology and ritual are widespread. Bushnell [1910] and Mooney [1972] discovered many insects as key figures in the belief systems of Indians of southeastern North America. For the Louisiana Chocktaw, for example, grasshoppers and men were created at the same time and were once brothers; ants were likewise considered as having human ancestors [Bushnell 1910]. Ant clans existed in many tribes [Gilbert 1943; Grinnel 1899] and ant people were thought to have been the first to have inhabited the underworld [Bushnell 1910]. Water beetles (*Hydrophilidae*) were responsible for the formation of the earth because they had brought up the mud from beneath the waters to form the first dry land (Mooney 1972).

The Cherokee attributed the origin of “Sacred Fire” to the heroic efforts of the water spider, which brought fire on its back while crossing the ocean [Mooney 1972]. Diseases and crop pests were cast upon people, according to legend, by Grubworm, who organized his fellow insects to punish humans for their abuse of nature [Posey 1977; Swanton 1928].

Insects also play an important role in Australian aboriginal lore (Meyer-Rochow 1985). Spencer and Gillen [1899] reported 30 insect totems; Berndt and Berndt [1984] provide further evidence of insect-named clan and totemic groupings. One of the major cosmogenic myths of the aborigines refers to the famous witchetty grub that served as humankind’s first food. Numerous examples of insects in mythology can be found in various compendia [e.g., Armstrong 1970; Clausen 1954; Cowan 1865; Denton 1968; Ealand 1915; Griaule 1961; Kevan 1974, 1979, 1980; Posey 1978, 1980, 1981; Reim 1962; Ritschky 1981; Schimitschek 1968, 1977; Wyman 1973].

Insects are also important components in many ceremonies. The Cherokee shamans employed many insect names in their sacred chants [Kilpatrick and Kilpatrick 1970] and had an elaborate “extraction” ritual to remove disease-causing insects from their patients’ bodies [Greenlee 1944; Lawson 1937; Morph 1932]. Fortune-telling rituals used insects as indicators of the future [Mooney 1972]. In Brazil, one of the most dramatic rituals is that of the Maué marriage ceremony [Biard 1862]. Young boys are submitted to an ordeal of pain in which tocandeiro ants (*Paraponera clavata*), known for their extremely powerful stings, are placed into a woven mitt (Fig. 2). The boys receive dozens of painful stings when they don the ceremonial glove. When the severe swelling subsides from his arm, a body is considered free to marry.
Accounts such as those previously related are generally recorded out of cultural context and, consequently, are of limited significance to the folk entomologist. Recent studies (e.g., Brown and Chase 1981; Gregor 1983; Luhrmann 1981; Malkin 1956; Posey 1985; Waddy 1982; Wilbert 1981) have attempted to provide a broader cultural framework for the interpretation of insects in myth and ceremony. Natural "models" based upon insect examples and recognized by native peoples themselves have been shown to be useful for the organization of folk scientific data and are significant alternatives to the imposed models of traditional anthropological structuralism and Western science (Posey 1981).

ORAL LITERATURE AND ECOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE

Oral literature is a major vehicle for ecological information. Santos and Posey (1986) witnessed an old man in the Ilha de Lencois (Brazil) describing his pursuit of a mythological animal. The "plot" of the story could take only three minutes to relate, but the master story-teller kept his audience of local youth spellbound for nearly 45 minutes. Analysis of the folk tale reveals that the minute details used to embellish and add credence to the story is also instruction in local ecology and survival.

Myths are concentrated symbolic codes that transmit cultural information, including social rules and standards of behavior. Ecological information, such as knowledge of animal behavior and "coevolutionary complexes," can also be communicated in myth form (Posey 1983c). Baldus (1937, 1970) recorded Taulipang myths describing the commensal relationships between birds and wasps. Kayapó lore describes commensalism between stingless bees and acrids (Posey and Camargo 1985).

Mill (1982) points out that widespread stories of "weeping termites" in Brazil reflect folk knowledge of the biological fact that ground nesting termites (Nasutitermes, Velocitermes, and Coptitermes) exude droplets of exocrine secretions for chemical defense when disturbed. A Kamaiura myth describes termite nests that glow in the night (Villas-Boas and Villas-Boas 1972). These glowing nests are not superstitious nonsense, but rather recognitions of a natural phenomenon caused by periodic invasions of termite mounds by phosphorescent Lampyridae larvae (Redford 1982).

Oral literature has not been sufficiently studied as a transmitter of biological information. This is because of the highly symbolic language of myth and folklore, which is frequently considered as nonsense by those who do not understand the linguistic and cultural codes. Researchers who take the time to learn the language of the societies they
study and prepare themselves with training in folkloristics can indeed make a significant contribution to myth interpretation and ethnoentomology.

MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS

Several miscellaneous topics deserve mention. Brief examples will be given to illustrate each topic.

Use of insects as ornaments and decorations—Berlin and Prance (1978), Covarrubias (1971), Kennedy (1943), Lothrap (1964), and Outram (1973) review the importance of insects in art and ornamentation in the New World. Meyer-Rochow (1975) reports the use of green tenebrionid beetles, as well as scarabaeids and buprestids, among the Wahgi Valley people of New Guinea. The Kayapó inherit the right to use iridescent elytra of *Euchroma goliatha* and make elaborate ceremonial hats from meliponine batumen (Posey 1983e). Butterfly wings are commonly used in the Americas for adornment and decoration (Posey 1986). Klots and Klots (1959) report the use of luminous beetles (*Pyrophorus* spp.) to decorate the hair of Indian girls.

Insects as objects of entertainment—Dragonfly catching is a favorite and developed sport in the Banda Islands (Simmons 1976). Butterfly wings are important play objects for Trobriand Island youth (Meyer-Rochow 1985). In Papua New Guinea, large weevils (*Rynchophorus ferruginius*) are used as musical instruments by letting the human mouth serve as a variable resonance chamber for the wing vibrations of the beetle (Meyer-Rochow 1973). Staged fights between lucanid beetles are reported in Thailand (Meyer-Rochow 1975). Posey and Camargo (1985) report the keeping of stingless bees by the Kayapó Indians purely because of their fascination with social insects. Lenko and Papavaro (1976) give several examples of the keeping of *Pyrophorus* spp. beetles for entertainment, as well as for their light. Dances inspired by insect movements are reported in several North American Indian groups (Bushnell 1910; Gilbert 1943; Schoolcraft 1851; Swanton 1928, 1946).

Insects as indicators—Due to the sensitivity of the head louse to minute changes in body temperatures, some native groups diagnose illness of patients by the presence or absence of lice. Slight fevers can cause an exodus of body lice that indicates oncoming illness (Malinowski 1929; Raths and Biewald 1974). Absence of certain insects can be taken as a sign of environmental pollution (Englehardt 1959), while the presence of other species (such as green flies that are attracted to decaying matter) can indicate unhealthy conditions (Meyer-Rochow 1985). Water striders, for example, are indicators of polluted water to the Trobrianders (Meyer-Rochow 1985). Meyer-Rochow (1985) reports how Australian aborigines use the contents of spider webs to indicate the proximity of honey bees. The presence of “mutucas” (*Tabanus*) near river banks indicates the presence of game to indigenous hunters of Brazil (Lenko and Papavaro 1976).

Insects as UFOs—Many tribes in Papua New Guinea report the presence of “flying light spots” in areas where luminescent creatures are not reported by entomologists (Callahan and Mankin 1978). These sightings may be explained by flying insects that enter into electric fields caused by thunderheads; the result is that ordinary insects appear to “emit sparks” (Meyers-Rochow 1985).

Insects and utilitarian concepts—Insects are frequently used as fish bait in preliterate cultures (Kevan 1979). *Nasutitermes* mounds are used for construction material by Brazilian Indians, who prize the natural insulating qualities of the nests extensive galleries (Posey 1979). Nests of *Azteca* ants are buried with newly planted crops to stimulate plant growth (Kerr and Posey 1984). Beeswax and batumen are extensively used for artifact production and paint bases by indigenous tribes of South America (Crane 1979, 1984;
Posey 1978, 1980; Schwarz 1945, 1948]. In Papua New Guinea and Northern Australia, ants and maggots are used to clean skeletons and bones. Cantharid beetles are the source of poisons for arrow points for some South American Indians [Meyer-Rochow 1985].

Hallucinogens—Insects have been found as sources of hallucinogens used by some indigenous groups [Meyer-Rochow 1985]. It is unclear if the hallucinogenic properties are due to the insects themselves or the plant sources upon which they feed [Blackburn 1976].

Insects and Archaeology—Insects are frequently found in archaeological sites. The presence of seasonal species has been shown to be useful to the archaeologist in determining seasonality of site use and the historic ecological setting [Gilbert and Bass 1967; Hevly 1982, Hevly and Johnson 1974].

Urban Ethnoentomology—A current topic in entomology is the ecology of insects in urban environments (Frankie and Ehler 1978). Studies in this area focus upon the adaptations of insects to the special climatic and edaphic conditions created by intense human manipulation of the natural environment. “Synanthropy” describes the nature of this coexistence with humankind over an extended time [Povolny 1971]; a formula for determining the degree of synanthropy has even been developed [Nuorteva 1963]. This specialized area of human-insect relationships might also be called “urban ethnoentomology.”

HYPOTHESIS GENERATION: THE ETHNOBIOLOGICAL BRIDGE

The interdisciplinary Kayapó project has developed methodological procedures to scientifically test hypotheses generated through its ethnobiological investigations of indigenous ecological knowledge [Posey 1986]. Native concepts and beliefs are used by Western scientists as *emic* guides for their research designs [Posey 1983a, 1985]. Data collection utilizes indigenous categories for floral and faunal inventories, while ethnoecological concepts [often couched in myth and natural symbols] establish the basis for interdisciplinary dialogue and research. In this manner, indigenous knowledge of biological communities and ecological relationships can be studied; when non-Western notions arise, these are formulated as hypotheses and tested by respective specialists.

Posey [1983b], for example, reports the discovery of nine new species of stingless bees [Meliponinae] through the comparison of Kayapó and Western taxonomic systems. Posey and Camargo [1985] record the utility of Indian knowledge about bee behavior in the development of studies in areas little known to ethnoentomologists, such as: differences in odor characteristics, swarming behavior, flight patterns, and habitat choices between or within meliponine species. They also propose scientific investigations based on indigenous knowledge of bee species distribution in relation to ecological zones and habitat sharing by certain species clusters. Indian ideas of acrid commensalism and use of odor trails by species for which such activity is unreported have also spurred further studies by entomologists of stingless bee behavior.

Overal and Posey [1986] have effected a large inventory of arthropod agricultural pests based on Indian information and confirmed by field collections. They also report the development of research into the highly effective control of agricultural pests in indigenous gardens through inter-cropping, use of trap crops and natural predators. The Indians attribute much of this natural control to predatory ants, wasps, and termites, all of which are glorified in Kayapó myth and song. Roles of these insects in crop pest control are being investigated following indigenous guidelines.

several natural pesticides and call for their testing by Western science. At least in the case of *Azteca* spp., Overal and Posey (1986) report very positive results from scientific tests to determine their effectiveness in the protection of Amazonian citrus.

Anderson and Posey (1986) and Posey (1984) report the intentional planting of certain plant species by Indians to attract bees. Such knowledge can be helpful in the investigation of tropical pollination and aid in the improvement of apiculture.

Many bee species are thought by the Kayapó to have important medicinal properties (Posey 1983e). Although almost practically unknown by pharmacologists they need to be investigated for their effectiveness and potential for a natural pharmacopeia (Elisabethsky and Posey 1986).

These are but a few examples from a single ethnobiological project of how indigenous knowledge can stimulate new ideas for Western science. No researcher is expected to accept *prima facie* all native beliefs. Much indigenous knowledge, as we have already seen, is highly symbolic and difficult for even the most experienced anthropologist to interpret; however, nothing can be dismissed by the ethnobiologist no matter how ridiculous it may initially sound. The most seemingly ludicrous ideas today may offer the greatest insights tomorrow when their symbols are finally decoded.

Refusal by Western scientists to study native beliefs is, after all, not a very scientific attitude. It is much more scientific to test the validity of native observations through the testing of hypotheses generated by ethnobiological study.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Knowledge, classification, and use of insects in human societies is diverse but relatively unstudied in a systematic manner. Lack of anthropological and linguistic training by entomologists—and entomological training by anthropologists and linguists—hampers ethnoentomological research. A true science of ethnoentomology will not develop until researchers have sufficient expertise in all three fields to investigate the native *emic* view of "natural worlds."

This situation does not prevent the elaboration of studies in cultural entomology that attempt to investigate insect importance in literate societies. Nor does it inhibit important research into the potential uses of insects as foods and medicines. Indeed, insects have played a significant role in human history and may be even more important in the future. Whether as protein sources in space flight or as key elements in integrated biological pest control, insects will continue to be studied and manipulated for human welfare.

From the theoretical side, folk biological studies can discover "natural models" used by other peoples to define their own world in their own terms. Instead of imposing paradigms of anthropological structuralism and Western science upon non-Western peoples, we must learn to elicit and organize our data within the cognitive bounds of the societies we study.

Folk systems of knowledge have in most cases developed for many millenia and are frequently more ancient than Western science. They reflect the diversity of ways in which the natural world can be ordered and provide detailed information of ethology, ecological communities, useful species, and biological diversity. Folk knowledge can also serve to generate new ideas and hypotheses that can be investigated and tested with the rigorous controls of occidental science.

Studies of folk knowledge as outlined in this paper offer a powerful "intellectual bridge" between different peoples. Understanding the sciences of other cultures enriches Western science and provides the philosophical bases for the understanding and appreciation of other peoples on and in their own terms.
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